

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/634,378	08/05/2003	Avner Porat	1096	8209	
7590 07/21/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Donald J. Ersler			NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J		
725 Garvens Avenue Brookfield, WI 53005		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671	3671	
·			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·				
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons		10/634,3	378	PORAT, AVNER				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
		<u></u>	her J. Novosad	3671	<u> </u>			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	cation appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
THE M Extensi after SI If the pe - If NO p Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ons of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the communication of the provision of the	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no equication. of days, a reply within the structory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ag	event, however, may a reply be time atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ांपु. :ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>05 July 2005</i> .						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□ S								
Dispositio	n of Claims							
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	Claim(s) <u>12-27,29 and 30</u> is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>12-27,29 and 30</u> is/are rejectation(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from c	onsideration. _.					
Applicatio	n Papers				,			
9)⊠ TI	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
· 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	·	- , ,		• •			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim f All b)	documents have be documents have be of the priority docun nal Bureau (PCT Ri	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s								
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	-O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 and 28 have been canceled.

Specification

The amendment filed July 5, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

"A leg curved surface 51 is preferably formed on the bottom of each pivotal leg to enable the angled blade 48 to be **pulled** over uneven surfaces" (emphasis added) in the sixth to the third to last line in the amendment to the specification in the amendment filed July 5, 2005 is not supported by the original disclosure which stated "A leg curved surface 51 is preferably formed on the bottom of each pivotal leg to enable the **front of** the angled blade 48 to be **pushed** over uneven surfaces" (emphasis added).

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3671

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 23, the recitation "said trailing end of said plow blade" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 12 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Winter.

With respect to claim 12, Winter shows a method of fabricating a manually operated snow plow 100, comprising the steps of:

orienting a plow blade 500 at an acute angle from an axis (unnumbered) of travel; forming a front extension blade 550 on substantially a leading end of the plow blade 500; extending at least one end blade 321 from a trailing end of the plow blade 500; and indirectly securing pivotally via 230,321,310 one end (unnumbered) of a handle 200 to a rear of the plow blade 500.

As to claim 14, Winter shows the step of forming a front curved surface (note Fig. 11) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the front extension blade 550;

Regarding claim 15, Winter shows the step of forming a rear extension blade 540 at the trailing end of the plow blade 500.

Art Unit: 3671

With respect to claim 16, Winter shows the step of forming a rear curved surface (unnumbered; Fig. 11) or (unnumbered; Fig. 4) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the rear extension blade 540 (Fig. 11) or 322 (Fig. 4).

As to claim 17, Winter shows the step of forming a trailing extension blade 540 (Fig. 11) or 322 (Fig. 4) on a rear (unnumbered) of the plow blade 500.

Regarding claim 18, Winter shows the step of forming a trailing curved surface (unnumbered; Fig. 11) or (unnumbered; Fig. 4) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the trailing extension blade 540 (Fig. 11) or 322 (Fig. 4).

With respect to claim 19, Winter shows the step of providing the handle 200 having a lengthwise body 220 and a handle portion 210, extending at least one pivotal leg 310 from a rear of the plow blade 500, one end (unnumbered) of the lengthwise body 220 being pivotally connected via 230 to the at least one pivotal leg 310 and the other end (unnumbered) being terminated with the handle portion 210.

As to claim 20, Winter shows the step of forming an end curved surface (unnumbered; Fig. 11) on at least a bottom of the end blade 550.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3671

Claims 12-27, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eslinger in view of Schbot.

With respect to claim 12, Eslinger shows a method of fabricating a manually operated snow plow, comprising the steps of:

orienting a plow blade 10 at an acute angle from an axis (unnumbered) of travel; forming a front extension blade 21 on substantially a leading end (unnumbered) of the

plow blade 10;

extending at least one end blade 13 or 14 from a trailing end (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10; and

securing via 28,13-16 one end of a handle 17-19,30-35 to a rear (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10.

With respect to claim 13, Eslinger shows the step of providing the plow blade 10 with symmetry about a lengthwise centerline (unnumbered), wherein the plow blade 10 plows to the right or to the left by flipping the plow blade 10 over.

As to claim 14, Eslinger shows the step of forming a front curved surface (unnumbered) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the front extension blade 21;

Regarding claim 15, Eslinger shows the step of forming a rear extension blade 13 or 14 at the trailing end (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10.

With respect to claim 16, Eslinger shows the step of forming a rear curved surface (unnumbered) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the rear extension blade 13 or 14.

As to claim 17, Eslinger shows the step of forming a trailing extension blade 13 or 14 on a rear (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10.

Art Unit: 3671

Regarding claim 18, Eslinger shows the step of forming a trailing curved surface (unnumbered) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the trailing extension blade 13 or 14.

With respect to claim 19, Eslinger shows the step of providing the handle 17-19, 30-35 having a lengthwise body 17-19 and a handle portion 30-35.

As to claim 20, Eslinger shows the step of forming an end curved surface (unnumbered) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the end blade 13 or 14.

Regarding claim 21, Eslinger shows a method of fabricating a manually operated snow plow, comprising the steps of orienting a plow blade 10 an an acute angle from an axis (unnumbered) of travel, providing the plow blade 10 with symmetry about a lengthwise centerline, wherein the plow blade 10 plows to the right or to the left by flipping the plow blade 10 over; forming a front extension blade 21 on substantially a leading end (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10; and securing via 28,13-16 one end of a handle 17-19,30-35 to a rear (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10.

With respect to claim 22, Eslinger shows the step of forming a front curved surface (unnumbered) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the front extension blade 21;

Regarding claim 23, Eslinger shows the step of forming a rear extension blade 13 or 14 at the trailing end (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10.

With respect to claim 24, Eslinger shows the step of forming a rear curved surface (unnumbered) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the rear extension blade 13 or 14.

As to claim 25, Eslinger shows the step of forming a trailing extension blade 13 or 14 on a rear (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10.

Art Unit: 3671

Regarding claim 26, Eslinger shows the step of forming a trailing curved surface (unnumbered) on at least a bottom (unnumbered) of the trailing extension blade 13 or 14.

With respect to claim 27, Eslinger shows the step of providing the handle 17-19, 30-35 having a lengthwise body 17-19 and a handle portion 30-35.

As to claim 29, Eslinger shows the step of extending at least one end blade 13 or 14 from a trailing end (unnumbered) of the plow blade 10.

The claims distinguish over Eslinger in requiring (1) one end of the handle to be secured **pivotally** to a rear of the plow blade (as required in claims 12 and 21) and (2) the step of extending at least one pivotal leg from a rear of the plow blade, one end of the lengthwise body being pivotally connected to the at least one pivotal leg and the other end being terminated with the handle portion (as required in claim 27).

Schbot shows (1) one end 60 of the handle 26,28 being secured **pivotally** via 48 to a rear (unnumbered) of the plow blade 12,14 via 34-38 (as required in claims 12 and 21) and (2) the step of extending at least one pivotal leg 30,50 from a rear (unnumbered) of the plow blade 12,14, one end 60 of the lengthwise body 60,26 being pivotally connected via 48 to the at least one pivotal leg 30,50 and the other end (unnumbered) being terminated with the handle portion 28 (as required in claim 27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized a pivotally connected handle with pivoting structure as disclosed in Schbot in place of the non-pivoting handle of Eslinger for greater user control of the snowplow.

Art Unit: 3671

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the middle of page 10 of the amendment filed July 5, 2005, with respect to Winter '163 not disclosing a plow blade having symmetry have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims reciting this feature have been withdrawn.

Applicant's remaining arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Novosad whose telephone number is 571-272-6993. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached at 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Novosad

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3671